Application No. Applicant(s) 09/877,967 BEDINGFIELD ET AL. Art Unit Examiner

Interview Summary Willie J. Daniel, Jr. 2686 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Willie J. Daniel, Jr.. (3)Leonard Hope. (4) . (2) Mike Baldauff. Date of Interview: 26 August 2004. Type: a) \square Telephonic b) \square Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: _____. Identification of prior art discussed: O'Neil. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's respresentatives discussed the differences between the proposed amendment and the cited prior art by stating that the proposed amendment has two separate triggers and the cited prior art has one trigger. Applicant's representative was advised to submit an official after final response in which the issues would be given the appropriate consideration. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

's signature, if required